8900174

THE UNITED STATIES OF ANTERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE: PRESENTS SHALL COME;

pioneer Gi-Bred International, Inc.

Tollierens, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, Upon due examination made, the said applicant(s) is (are) adjudged to be entitled to a certificate of plant variety protection under the LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen years from the date of this grant, subject to the payment of the required fees and periodic replenishment of viable basic seed of the variety in a public repository as provided by LAW, the right to exude others from selling the variety, or offering it for sale, or reproducing it, mporting it, or exporting it, or using it in producing a hybrid or different ty therefrom, to the extent provided by the Plant Variety Protection Act 1542, as amended, 7 u.s.c. 2321 et seq.)

SOYBEAN

'9303'

In Lestimony Watercot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D. C. this 31st day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety.

Kexneth H Evan

Commissioner

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service Claylo Jertler Secretary of Agricultured

US DEPARTMEN	T OF AGRICULT	UAE	FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 0681-0066
AGRICULTURAL I	Application is required in order to determining a plant variety protection certificate is to		
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VAF	RIETY PROTE ons on reverse)	CTION CERTIFICATE	be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information in held confidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).
1. NAME OF APPLICANTIS	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION	3. VARIETY NAME
Pioneer Hi-Bred Internationa	1, Inc.		9303
4. ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, S	tate, and Zip Code)	5. PHONE (Include area code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
700 Capital Square 400 Locust Street Des Moines, IA 50309		319-234-0335	8900174
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NA	ME (Botanical)	DATE
Glycine Max	Legumin	osae	Upr. 17,1989 TIME
8. KIND NAME		DATE OF DETERMINATION	AMOUNT FOR FILING
		October, 1983	g s /800
Soybean		January, 1987(increa	SE AMOUNT FOR CERTIFICATE
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERS	ON," GIVE FORM	OF ORGANIZATION (Corporation	
partnership, association, etc.)			\$ 200.00 W DATE
Corporation			Dec. 11 1990
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORE			12. DATE OF INCORPORATION . 1926
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRE	ESENTATIVE(S), I	F ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLI	CATION AND RECEIVE ALL PAPERS
Clark W. Jennings 3261 West Airline Highway		Mary Helen Mitche	ell (copy) re - 400 Locust Street
Waterloo, IA 50703-9610		Des Moines, IA	50309
		PHONE (Include ar	ea code):
14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTA			ntection Act I
a. (X) Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of b. (X) Exhibit B, Novelty Statement.	or the variety (See	Section 32 of the Punt variety Fit	neethon net.)
c. (X) Exhibit C, Objective Description of Varie	ety (Request form	from Plant Variety Protection Offi	ce.)
d. Exhibit D, Additional Description of Van			
e. X Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of App	licant's Ownership).	CONTRACTOR OF CERTIFIED
15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEE SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Pr			items 16 and 17 below) No
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT TH LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS		17. IF "YES" TO ITEM 16, WE BEYOND BREEDER SEE	WHICH CLASSES OF PRODUCTION ED?
Yes X No		Foundation	Registered Certified
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE	FOR PROTECTION	ON OF THE VARIETY IN THE U	S.? Yes (If "Yes," give date)
			[X] No
19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, OFFE	RED FOR SALE,	OR MARKETED IN THE U.S. OR	OTHER COUNTRIES ? Yes [If "Yes," give names
			of countries and dates! [Y] No
20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sam plenished upon request in accordance with s			with the application and will be re
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the ow distinct, uniform, and stable as required in S Variety Protection Act.	ner(s) of this sexu	ually reproduced novel plant var	iety, and believe(s) that the variety is provisions of Section 42 of the Plant
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false repr	esentation herein	can jeopardize protection and	result in penalties.
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT			DATE
Clark Junion	70		april 6, 1989
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT			DATÉ

Attachment: 9303 Soybean (April, 1989)

Exhibit A:

Variety 9303 evolved from a cross between Variety 2981 X CM385. It is a F5-derived variety which was advanced to the F5 generation by modified single-seed descent. The F6 progeny row of 9303 was grown in Ohio during the summer of 1983. Subsequently, 9303 has undergone five years of extensive testing and purification and has been observed by the breeder to be uniform and stable for all plant traits from generation to generation with no evidence of variants.

Six acres of 9303 (breeder's seed) were grown in 1987. 75 acres of parent seedstock (foundation seed equivalent) were grown in 1988.

Exhibit B:

9303 is most similar to the Variety S2920, Variety Taylor and Variety Oak. However, 9303 is 3.25 days later maturing than S2920 (121.38 days vs. 118.13 days, TABLE 1). 9303 has low peroxidase activity whereas S2920 has high peroxidase activity. The maximum canopy width at the R5 stage of 9303 is 3.38 inches wider than that for S2920 (27.94 vs. 24.56 inches TABLE 2).

9303 differs from Taylor in that 9303 is 3.94 days later maturing than Taylor (121.38 vs. 117.44 days, TABLE 3). 9303 has larger seeds than Taylor (20.1 vs. 15.8 grams/100 seeds, TABLE 4). Also, the maximum canopy width at R5 stage of 9303 is 2.69 inches wider then that for Taylor (27.94 vs. 25.25 inches, TABLE 5).

9303 differs from Oak in that 9303 is 5.0 days later maturing than Oak (121.38 vs. 116.38 days, TABLE 6). 9303 has larger seeds than Oak (20.13 vs. 18.66 grams/100 seeds, TABLE 7). Also, the maximum canopy width at R5 stage of 9303 is 3.94 inches wider than that for Oak (27.94 vs. 24.00 inches, TABLE 8).

Exhibit E: Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. is the sole, original, and first breeder of soybean variety 9303, for which it solicits a certificate of protection.

EXHIBIT C (Soybean)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

SUTBE	Alv (Glycine max L.)
NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	TEMPORARY DESIGNATION VARIETY NAME
Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.	9303
ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Co.	
700 Capital Square 400 Locust Street	PVPO NUMBER
Des Moines, IA 50309	8900174
Choose the appropriate response which characterizes the va	ariety in the features described below. When the number of significant digits 1 , place a zero in the first box when number is 9 or less (e.g., $\boxed{0}$ $\boxed{9}$).
1. SEED SHAPE:	
[7] O	
1 = Spherical (L/W, L/T, and T/W ratios = < 1.2) 3 = Elongate (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W = < 1.2)	2 = Spherical Flattened (L/W ratio > 1.2; L/T ratio = < 1.2) 4 = Elongate Flattened (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W > 1.2)
2. SEED COAT COLOR: (Mature Seed)	
1 = Yellow 2 = Green 3 = Brown	4 = Black 5 = Other (Specify)
3. SEED COAT LUSTER: (Mature Hand Shelled Seed)	
2 = Shiny ('Neb	osoy'; 'Gasoy 17')
4. SEED SIZE: (Mature Seed)	
2 0 Grams per 100 seeds	
5. HILUM COLOR: (Mature Seed)	
2 1 = Buff 2 = Yellow 3 = Brown	4 = Gray 5 = Imperfect Black 6 = Black 7 = Other (Specify)
6. COTYLEDON COLOR: (Mature Seed)	
1 = Yellow 2 = Green	
7. SEED PROTEIN PEROXIDASE ACTIVITY:	
1 = Low 2 = High	
8. SEED PROTEIN ELECTROPHORETIC BAND:	
1 = Type A (SP1 ^a) 2 = Type B (SP1 ^b)	
9. HYPOCOTYL COLOR:	
1 = Green only ('Evans'; 'Davis') 2 = Green wi 3 = Light Purple below cotyledons ('Beeson'; 'Pickett 71' 4 = Dark Purple extending to unifoliate leaves ('Hodgson'	
10. LEAFLET SHAPE:	
2 1 = Lanceolate 2 = Oval 3 = Ovate	e 4 = Other (Specify)

		0/001/4
11. LEAFLE	T SIZE:	
	1 = Small ('Amsoy 71'; 'A5312') 2 = Medium ('Corsoy 79'; 'Gasoy 17') 3 = Large ('Crawford'; 'Tracy')	
12. LEAF CO	DLOR:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1 = Light Green ('Weber'; 'York') 2 = Medium Green ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton') 3 = Dark Green ('Gnome'; 'Tracy')	
13. FLOWER	COLOR:	
2	1 = White 2 = Purple 3 = White with purple throat	
14. POD COL	.OR:	
2	1 = Tan 2 = Brown 3 = Black	
15. PLANT P	UBESCENCE COLOR:	
1	1 = Gray 2 = Brown (Tawny)	
16. PLANT T	YPES:	
	I = Slender ('Essex'; 'Amsoy 71') 2 = Intermediate ('Amcor'; 'Braxton') 3 = Bushy ('Gnome'; 'Govan')	·
17. PLANT H	ABIT:	
	= Determinate ('Gnome'; 'Braxton') 2 = Semi-Determinate ('Will') 3 = Indeterminate ('Nebsoy'; 'Improved Pelican')	
18. MATURIT	TY GROUP:	
1 U 1 D 1	= 000	8 = V
19. DISEASE	REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)	
BACTER	RIAL DISEASES:	:
0 8	Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli var. sojensis)	
	acterial Blight (Pseudomonas glycinea)	
	lildfire (Pseudomonas tabaci)	
[_0,]		
· [6]	DISEASES:	·
[rown Spot (Septoria glycines)	
	rogeye Leaf Spot (Cercospora sojina) ace 1 0 Race 2 0 Race 3 0 Race 4 0 Race 5 Other (S	Specify)
0 т	arget Spot (Corynespora cassiicola)	
0 0	owny Mildew (Peronospora trifoliorum var. manshurica)	
	owdery Mildew (Microsphaera diffusa)	
بعا	rown Stem Rot (Cephalosporium gregatum)	
<u> </u>	tem Canker (Diaporthe phaseolorum var. caulivora)	

FORM LMGS-470-57 (2-82)

			0/001/4
19. DISEASE REACTIO	N: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 =	Resistant) (Continued)	
FUNGAL DISEAS	SES: (Continued)	•	
O Pod and Ste	em Blight <i>(Diaporthe phaseolorum</i> var; sojae)		
0 Purple Seed	Stain (Cercospora kikuchii)		
0 Rhizoctonia	a Root Rot (Rhizoctonia solani)		
Phytophtho	ra Rot <i>(Phytophthora megasperma</i> var. <i>sojae)</i>		
1 Race 1	1 Race 2 1 Race 3 1	Race 4	Race 6 Race 7
1 Race 8	1 Race 9 Other (Specify)	· 	
VIRAL DISEASES	S:		
0 Bud Blight	Tobacco Ringspot Virus)		
O Yellow Mos	aic (Bean Yellow Mosaic Virus)		
O Cowpea Mo	saic (Cowpea Chlorotic Virus)		
0 Pod Mottle	(Bean Pod Mottle Virus)		
0 Seed Mottle	(Soybean Mosaic Virus)		
NEMATODE DISE	ASES:		
Soybean Cy:	st Nematode (Heterodera glycines)		
1 Race 1	1 Race 2 1 Race 3 1	Race 4 Other (Sp	pecify)
1 Lance Nema	tode (Hoplolaimus Colombus)		
1 Southern Ro	ot Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne incognita)		
Northern Ro	ot Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne Hapla)		
1 Peanut Root	Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne arenaria)		
1 Reniform Ne	matode (Rotylenchulus reniformis)		
1 OTHER DIS	EASE NOT ON FORM (Specify):		<u> </u>
·			
· 	ESPONSES: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Suscept	tible; 2 = Resistant)	
1 Iron Chloros	s on Calcareous Soil		
Other (Special	fy)		
1. INSECT REACTION:	(Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Re	sistant)	the state of the s
0 Mexican Bear	Beetle (Epilachna varivestis)		
O Potato Leaf I	Hopper (Empoasca fabae)		
Other (Specif	iy)		
22. INDICATE WHICH V	ARIETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THA	T SUBMITTED.	
CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY
Plant Shape	Taylor	Seed Coat Luster	Taylor
Leaf Shape	Taylor	Seed Size	Taylor
Leaf Color	Taylor	Seed Shape	Taylor
Leaf Size	Taylor	Seedling Pigmentation	Taylor
		ļ	

8900174

23. GIVE DATA FOR SUBMITTED AND SIMILAR STANDARD VARIETY: Paired Comparison Data

VARIETY DAYS LODGIN	PLANT CM LODGING PLANT	CM PLANT	LEAFLET SIZE		SEED CONTENT		SEED SIZE G/100	NO. SEEDS/	
	SCORE	HEIGHT	CM Width	CM Length	% Protein	% Oil	SEEDS	POD	
9303 Submitted	121	1.9	99.1					20.1	
Taylor Name of Similar Variety	117	2.8	98.6					15.8	

PUBLICATIONS USEFUL AS REFERENCE AIDS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM:

- 1. Caldwell, B.E., ed. 1973. Soybeans: Improvement, Production, and Uses. Amer. Soc. Agron. Monograph No. 16.
- 2. Buttery, B.R. and R.I. Buzzell. 1968. Peroxidase activity in seeds of soybean varieties. Crop Sci., 8: 722-725.
- 3. Hymowitz, T. 1973. Electrophoretic analysis of SBTI-A2 in the USDA soybean germplasm collection. Crop Sci., 13: 420-421.
- 4. Payne, R.C. and L.F. Morris. 1976. Differentiation of soybean cultivars by seedling pigmentation patterns. J. Seed Technol. 1: 1-19.

Table 1. Paired Comparison (days to maturity) Data

REP	9303(X ₁)	S2920(X ₂)	(X ₁ -X ₂)	$(X_1-X_2)^2$	
1	121	119	2	4	
. • 2	121	118	2	9	
3	1,20	118	2	4	
4	121	119	2	4	
5	121	115	6	36	
6	120	117	3	9	
7	123	119	4	16	
8	122	118	4	16	
9	123	118	5	2.5	
10	122	118	4	16	
11	121	117	4	16	
12	122	119	3	9	
13	120	119	1	1	
14	121	118	3	9	
15	122	120	2	4	
16	122	118	4	16	
TOTAL	1942	1890	52	194	
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	121.38	118.13	3.25	12.13	

Table 2. Paired Comparison (canopy width) Data

	REP	9303(X ₁)	\$2920(X ₂)	(X ₁ -X ₂)	$(X_1-X_2)^2$	
		2.7	2.4	3	9	
	2	27 28.	24 25	3	0	
	2			3	<i>9</i> 1	•
	. 3	27	25	2 5	4	
	4 5	27	22	5	25	
	5	28	24	4	16	
	6	29	27	2	4	
	7	28	25	j 3	9	
	8	28	25	j 3	9	
	9	28	24	4	16	
	10	28	$\frac{24}{4}$	4	16	
	11	26	24	2	4	
		20		5	25	
	12	29	24		2.5	
	13	29	24	5	25	
	14	2:7	26	1	1	
	15	2,9	25	4	16	
s .1	16	29	25	4	16	
TOT	'AL	447	393	54	204	
X	Ţ	27.94	24.56	3.38	12.75	

$$t = \frac{3.38}{0.301} = 11.23 ** for 15 df$$

Table 3. Paired Comparison (days to maturity) Data

REP	9303(X ₁)	TAYLOR(X ₂)	(x ₁ -x ₂)	$(X_1-X_2)^2$	
1	121	117	4	16	
2	121	118	3	9	
3	120	117		9	•
4	121	117	1 4	16	
	121	118	3 4 3	Ťý	
5 6 7	120	119	1	1	
7	123	116	7	49	
8	122	117	i ś	25	
9	123	117	j 5 j 6	36	
10	122	118	i ă	16	
īĭ	121	118	4 3 5 2	9	
12	122	117	5	25	
13	120	118	2	4	
$\overline{14}$	121	117		16	
15	122	117	5	25	
16	122	118	4 5 4	16	
	122	110	1	10	
TOTAL	1942	1879	63	281	
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	121.38	117.44	3.94	17.56	

$$3.94$$
 = 10.65 ** for 15 df 0.37

Table 4. Paired Comparison (grams/100 seeds) Data

REP	9303(X ₁)	TAYLOR(X ₂)	$(X_1 - X_2)$	$(X_1 - X_2)^2$	
1	19.5	15.5	4.0	16.00	
2	19.5	15.0	4.5	20.25	
3	20.5	16.0	4.5	20.25	
4	20.0	15.5	4.5	20.25	
5	19.5	15.5	4.0	16.00	
6	20.5	15.5	5.0	25.00	
.7	20.0	17.0	3.0	9.00	
8	20.0	15.5	4.5	20.25	
9	19.0	16.0	3.0	9.00	4
10	20.0	16.0	4.0	16.00	
11	21.0	15.5	5.5	30.25	
12 13	20.0	16.5	3.5	12.25	
-	20.0	16.0	4.0	16.00	
14	21.0	15.5	5.5	30.25	
15	21.0	15.5	5.5	30.25	
16	20.5	16.0	4.5	20.25	
TOTAL	322.0	252.5	69.5	311.25	
$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	20.13	15.78	4.34	19.45	

$$s = \frac{311.25 - [(69.5)^{2}/16]}{16(15)} = 0.197$$

$$t = \frac{4.34}{0.197} = 22.08 ** for 15 df$$

Table 5. Paired Comparison (canopy width) Data

REP	9303(X ₁)	TAYLOR(X ₂)	(X ₁ -X ₂)	$(X_1-X_2)^2$	
1	27	24	3	9	
2	28	21	7	49	
3	27	26	1	1	
4	$\overline{27}$	26	1 1	1	
5	28	$\frac{2}{2}$	<u>΄</u>	16	
6	29	26	1 3	9	
7	28	25	3	٥	
. 8	28	26	2	<i>3</i> 1	
. 9	28	27	1 1	1	
10	28	24	1 1	16	
11	26	24	. 3	10	
$\overline{12}$	29	27	1 2	4	
$\overline{13}$	29	27	1 2	4	
$\overline{1}\overline{4}$	27	25	2	4	
15	29	26		9	
16	29	26] 3] 3	9	
	4 2	20) 	9	
TOTAL	447	404	43	149	
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	27.94	25.25	2.69	9.31	

$$t = \frac{2.69}{0.373} = 7.21 ** for 15 df$$

Table 6. Paired Comparison (days to maturity) Data

REP	9303(X ₁)	OAK(X ₂)	(X ₁ -X ₂)	$(X_1 - X_2)^2$	
1	121	117	4	16	
2	121	116	j 5	25	
3	120	116	4	16	
4	121	117		16	
4 5 6	121	$\overline{116}$	4 5	25	
6	120	116	4	16	
· 7	123	116	7	49	
. 8	122	117	5	25	
8 9	123	117	6	36	
10	122	116	•	36	
$\bar{1}\dot{1}$	121	116	6 5 5	25	
$\overline{12}$	122	117	i š	25	
13	120	117	3	9	
$\frac{1}{4}$	121	116	5	25	
15	122	116	6	36	
16	122	116	6	36	
TOTAL	1942	1862	80	416	
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	121.38	116.38	5	26	-
	"		•		

$$t = \frac{5}{0.258} = 19.38 ** for 15 df$$

Table 7. Paired Comparison (grams/100 seeds) Data

REP	9303(x ₁)	OAK(X ₂)	(X ₁ -X ₂)	$(X_1 - X_2)^2$	
1	19.5	18.5	1.0	1.00	
1 2 3	19.5	19.0	0.5	0.25	
3	20.5	19.0	1.5	2.25	
4	20.0	19.0	1.0	1.00	
4 5 6 7	19.5	18.5	1.0	1.00	
6	20.5	18.5	2.0	4.00	
7	20.0	19.0	1.0	1.00	
8	20.0	19.0	1.0	1.00	
8 9	19.0	18.5	0.5	0.25	
10	20.0	19.0	1.0	1.00	
11	21.0	19.0	2.0	4.00	
12	20.0	17.5	2.5	6.25	
13	20.0	18.0	2.0	4.00	•
14	21.0	19.0	2.0	4.00	
15	21.0	18.5	2.5	6.25	
16	20.5	18.5	2.0	4.00	
TOTAL	322.0	298.5	23.5	41.25	
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	20.13	18.66	1.47	2.58	

$$\frac{s}{d} = \frac{41.25 - [(23.5)^2/16]}{16(15)} = 0.167$$

$$t = \frac{1.47}{0.167} = 8.80 ** for 15 df$$

Table 8. Paired Comparison (canopy width) Data

REP	9303(x ₁)	OAK(X ₂)	(X ₁ -X ₂)	(X ₁ -X ₂)	2
1	27	25	2	4	
2	28	23	2 5	25	
3	27	24	j 3	9	
4	27	24	3	9	
5	28	22	6	36	
6	29	27	2	4	
. 7	28	22	6	36	
8	28	22 25	3	9	
9	28	24		16	
10	28	23	5	25	
11	26	24	2	4	
12	29	24	j 5	25	
13	29	26	2 5 3	- 9	
14	29 27	24	3	9	
15	29	22	1 7	49	
16	29	$\overline{25}$	4	16	
TOTAL	447	384	63	285	
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	27.94	24	3.94	17.81	
N = 16		•			

$$s = \frac{285 - [(63)^2/16]}{16(15)} = 0.392$$

$$t = \frac{3.94}{0.392} = 10.05 ** for 15 df$$